JOB NO.:

IGS09-07

W.O. #09-68783-0

TITLE:

Variable Clearance LP Packing and Radial Spill Strips

DESCRIPTION:

Replace LP Turbine interstage packing and spill strips with advanced design.

JUSTIFICATION:

ECONOMIC

RATE OF RETURN:

59 percent

PAYBACK PERIOD:

1.7 years

BENEFIT/COST RATIO: ECONOMIC LIFE:

10 years

4.6

PV SAVINGS:

\$3,916,000

SALVAGE VALUE: \$4,000

ADDITIONAL DETAIL:

LP turbine interstage packing and spill strips are scheduled to be replaced during the 2010 and 2011 outages. Installing advanced design packing and spill strips instead of conventional packing will improve net plant heat rate 0.36 percent by reducing steam leaks between LP turbine stages. Advanced design packing and seals also have provisions to minimize wear over time.

COST ESTIMATE:

	<u>2010-2011</u>	<u>Total</u>
Engineering Labor	\$6,000	\$6,000
IPSC Labor	\$4,000	\$4,000
Contractor Labor	\$112,000	\$112,000
Material	<u>\$964,000</u>	<u>\$964,000</u>
Job Total	\$1,086,000	\$1,086,000

ALTERNATIVES:

Alternative 1: Do not replace LP packing and seals. Leakage from worn packing and seals will continue to increase through the next LP outage interval (10 years) with resulting increase in heat

rate and fuel consumption.

Alternative 2: Replace LP packing and seals with conventional design packing and seals. Maintenance overhaul budget will have to be increased by \$781,000 for these packing and seals. Heat rate losses from interstage steam leaks will be restored to close to design values initially but will degrade over time.

EFFECT OF DEFERRAL:

Higher LP turbine stage losses resulting in higher heat rate and fuel consumption. Next

opportunity to install improved LP turbine packing is in 10-plus years.

PROJECT HISTORY:

None, first year.

INTE	ERMOU	INTAIN	POWER SER	VICE CORP	PORATION	Date:	
⊠ RI	EQUISIT	ION FOR	CAPITAL EQUIP	MENT		Req./PA No:	270903
□ PURCHASE AUTHORIZATION FOR EXPENSE ITEMS			P.O. No:	P.O. No:			
□ PU	IKCHAS	E AUTHO	JRIZATION FOR	EXPENSE ITE	IMIS	Vendor:	
Purpos	se of Mat	erials, Sup	oplies or Services:			Terms:	
Lov	w Pressur	e Turbine	Packing and Seals			FOB:	
						Ship Via:	
						Conf. To:	
Sugge	sted Ver	ndor: <u>Co</u>	ompetitive Bid		Work	unt No. 00 Order No. 09 ect No. IG	-68783
Qty	Unit	Noun	Description Adjective	Catalog #	Seller or Manufacturer	Unit Cost	Extension
1	1		it 2 Low Pressu nstallation for		cking and Seals tage		\$543,000.00
1	1		it 1 Low Pressu		cking and Seals outage		\$543,000.00
			and the state of t		330000000 ⁰¹² 0000000000000000000000000000		
44.21.2				**************************************			
			eponomical de la constantina del constantina de la constantina de la constantina del constantina de la constantina de la constantina del constantina d	T A ITI A ITI	ESTIMATED COST		\$1,086,000.00
Poma vl		<u> </u>		TOTAL	ESTIMATED COST		\$1,086,000.00
xemar k	.5:						
Delima	אינו אינוי	ested hi	[Date] <u>10-1</u> 6	5-10	Originator Sp	ence	
	7					A STATE OF THE STA	ALANON TO THE PARTY OF THE PART

Station Manager

Date

Dept. Mgr/Supt.

Date

Operating Agent

Date

MEMORANDUM

INTERMOUNTAIN POWER SERVICE CORPORATION

TO:

Jon A. Finlinson

Page 1 of 1

FROM:

Jon P. Christensen

DATE:

July 14, 2010

SUBJECT:

Approval for Capital Project IGS09-07 - Low Pressure Turbine Packing and Seal

Please approve Requisition 270903 for Capital Project IGS09-07 to purchase and install Low Pressure turbine Packing and Seals. The purpose of this project is to install advanced design packing and seals to reduce LP turbine internal steam leakage. These new seals will improve LP turbine efficiency and station heat rate.

The total budgeted cost for this project is \$1,086,000. We are trying to get this contract awarded for delivery this Fall during the Unit 2 outage and next Spring for the Unit 1 outage.

DCS:jmj

Attachments

MEMORANDUM

INTERMOUNTAIN POWER SERVICE CORPORATION

TO:

Jon A. Finlinson

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

FROM:

Jon P. Christensen

DATE:

August 9, 2010

SUBJECT:

Approval for Capital Project IGS09-07 - Low Pressure Turbine Packing and Seal

Please approve Requisition 270903 for Capital Project IGS09-07 to purchase and install Low Pressure turbine packing and seals. The purpose of this project is to install advanced design packing and seals to reduce LP turbine internal steam leakage. These new seals will improve LP turbine efficiency and station heat rate.

The total budgeted cost for this project is \$1,086,000. We are trying to get this contract awarded for delivery this Fall during the Unit 2 outage and next Spring for the Unit 1 outage.

DCS/JKH:jmj

Attachments

INTERMOUNTAIN POWER SERVICE CORPORATION

BID TRANSMITTAL

TO: <u>Jon Christensen</u> Department Head	DATE:	August 19, 2	010
REQUISITION NO. 270903	BID NO.	45848	***************************************
REQUISITIONER <u>Dave Spence</u>	agarana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana an		
BRIEF DESCRIPTION <u>LP Turbine Packi</u>	ng & Seals	*))	
Your prompt recommendation of award bids. Recommendation should be made bidders, conforming to specification bidder, or bidders, cannot be accepted in detail. Please advise by08/31	to the lo s. If pro ed, reason	west bidder, posal of lowe	or est
ANALYSIS OF BIDS:			
x On a basis of price, <u>Turbo O</u> is the lowest bidder quoting			1003 **** ya ya masa aka
			-
1. Turbo Care Inc.		\$344,014.00/	unit
2. Star Field Fit		\$370,000.00/1	unit
3. Mechanical Dynamics		\$549,416.00/1	unit
4. GE Energy		\$924,820.00/1	unit
Dancy Bennest 8/19/10	Purchasing		8/19/10
RECOMMENDATIONS BY REQUISITIONER			
Approved by Department Head	Date		

INTERMOUNTAIN POWER SERVICE CORPORATION

BID EVALUATION SHEET

RFQ NO. <u>11-45848</u>	ITTLE: Low Pressure Turbine Packing & Seals		<u>S.</u>	DATE: <u>August 19, 2010</u>		
Turbo Care Inc	\$292,563.00	\$51,451.00	\$344,014.00		2	\$688,028.00
Star Field Fit	\$315,000.00	\$83,000.00	\$398,000.00		2	\$\$796,000.00
Mechanical Dynamics	\$441,016.00	\$108,400.00	\$549,416.00	46	2	\$1,098,832.00
GE Energy	\$774,820.00	\$150,000.00	\$924,820.00	0	2	\$1,923,620.00
Recommendation: Award to, lowest bidder offering service and the specifications				and/or m	naterials in c	ompliance with
IPSC DEPARTMENT HEAD APPROVAL:				throughout great	DATE: _	
IPSC MANAGEMENT APPROVAL:					DATE:	
OPERATING AGENT'S AUTHORIZATION:				kodostolausioda	DATE: _	TETATA ETITATA ETITET ETATA ETA

Project Title: LP turbine advanced design packings

Project Cost (\$)	1,086,000
Salvage Value of Old Equipment (\$)	4,000
Initial Savings (\$)	
Total Coal Savings (Ton/yr)	
Total Fuel Oil Savings (Gal./yr)	
Power Savings (MWhr)	
Other Savings (\$)	
Annual Costs With the new Equipment (\$)	0
Future Salvage Value New Equipment(\$)	0
Project Life (Years)	10

Total Coal Cost (\$/Ton)	38.77
Total Fuel Oil Cost (\$/Gal)	3.5
Replacement Power Cost (\$/MWhr)	50
Cost of Money (%)	8
O&M Escalation (%)	3

Present Value of Project	\$3,915,695
Benefit/Cost Ratio	4.62
Payback Period	1.7
Rate of Return	59%

WO # 09-68783-0

Total cost of project including material and labor in current dollars Salvage value of existing equipment that will be removed. Savings that will be obtained at project installation. List the tons of coal that will be saved annually as a result of the project. List the gallons of fuel oil that will be saved annually as a result of the project. List the annual auxillary power savings that will result from the project. List the annual savings that will result such as maintenance savings. List the annual costs associated with the new equipment such as maintenance costs. List the expected salvage value of the new equipment at the end of the project life.

Date: 8/6/2008

Note: For non-annual payments or savings, use sheet 2.

Justification based on TurboParts LLC proposal T07-1577 (8/7/07).

Advanced design seals are Guardian packing rings and Vortex Shedder spill strips.

They estimate 1.4 MW/LP section or 4.2 MW additional LP output from advanced design pkgs and seals. This works out to -0.36% change in NPHR or -36 Btu/kwh (9400 nphr base). See project notes for this calc. Added hrcost and evaluation criteria sheets to this book to calculate annual sygs from heat rate change. Modified payback period calc to use annual savings from annuity. The calcs on the next page wouldn't do this. See evaluation criteria for fuel costs, capacity factors, and everything else used for this calculation. Note that no values for fuel cost were provided. Used fuel costs from LP turbine uprate options study which are based on 06-07 costs.

Did not factor in the cost of conventional pkg which would come from outage budget if this project was not done.

Notes and

Assumptions:

Prepared by: D Spence 080608

Note: Enter unequal payments as future dollars. Account for inflation etc. Enter Unequal Savings and Costs as positive numbers.

Enter Onequa	ii Savirigs and Co				ms. 1 1
	Annual	Unequal	Unequal	Rate of Return	Period
	Savings	Savings	Costs	Series	Present Values
Project Cost	-1,082,000			-1,082,000	-1,082,000
1	0	400,000		400,000	370,370
2	0	800,000		800,000	685,871
3	0	800,000		800,000	635,066
4	0	800,000		800,000	588,024
5	0	800,000		800,000	544,467
6	0	800,000		800,000	504,136
7	0	800,000		800,000	466,792
8	0	800,000		800,000	432,215
9		800,000		800,000	400,199
10	0	800,000		800,000	370,555

		Project Cost	1,082,000
		Annual Savings	0,002,000
		Escalation (%)	3
		Cost of Money (%)	8
		Periods (Years)	10
		In	0.05
Rate of Return	59%		
Net Present Value	3,915,695		
Payback Period	1.68		
Annuity PV	4,997,695	NPV w/o Inflation	3,230,267
Annual Savings from Annuity	642,655	IRR Guess	0.1

Cost of Heat Rate (%)

Cost of Heat Rate Change - \$/Btu/kwh

\$1.66 900 90.00% 9400 0.36% 8760	Fuel Cost (\$/mmBtu) Net Capacity) Net Capacity Factor Net Heat Rate (Btu/kwh) Percent Heat Rate Change Period Hours	\$1.66 900 90.00% 34.00 8760	Fuel Cost (\$/mmBtu) Net Capacity) Net Capacity Factor Btu/kwh Period Hours
\$400,805	Annual Savings/Cost (\$/yr)	\$400,476	Annual Savings/Cost (
\$33,400	Monthly Savings/Cost (\$/m)	\$33,373	Monthly Savings/Cost
\$1,098	Daily Savings/Cost (\$/d)	\$1,097	Daily Savings/Cost (\$/c
\$46	Hourly Savings/Cost (\$/h)	\$46	Hourly Savings/Cost (\$

Advanced design packings econ calcs.xls 1/10/2011

\$/yr) (\$/m) d) i/h)

Advanced design packings econ calcs.xls 1/10/2011

Economic evaluation criteria used for 2009 budget justifications

_		4.5	O
-	VOL	Hatian	Criteria
-	A COL	uauvii	OHIOHA

Outage year	2010	
Escalation (%)	3.00%	
Cost of Money (%)	8.00%	
Evaluation Period (yr)	10	
NPHR (Btu/kwh)	9500	
Net Capacity Factor (%)	90%	
Replacement Energy (\$/MWh)	\$50.00	
Fuel Cost (\$/ton)	\$38.77	38.77
Fuel Cost (\$/mmBtu)	\$1.66	1.66
CO2 tax (\$/ton)	\$0.00	

FY 06-07 Production Values

Total fuel cost (\$1,000's)	231,047.0
Net station generation (gwh)	14,686.0
Total coal burned (ktons)	5,959.9
Coal HHV (Btu/lb)	11,686
NPHR (Btu/kwh)	9,491
Net Capacity Factor (%)	93.1

P7020486

Bid Evaluation for IGS09-07 LP Turbine Replacement Packing & Seals

\$1,086,000 Project Budget Contract is for both units Costs in this evaluation are for one unit installation

	TurboCare	STAR	MDA/TurboParts	GE
Conventional packing & seals	292,563	292,000	441,016	774,820
Installation	51,451	78,000	108,400	150,000
Total/unit	344,014	370,000	549,416	924,820
Advanced Design packing & Seals	487,563	315,000	523,120	
Installation	51,451	83,000	108,400	
Total/unit	539,014	398,000	631,520	not offered
i otarunit	539,014	390,000	031,520	not offered
Advanced design details:	Retractable pkg stgs 16-20 with reduced running clearances on top and sides (.010 less than design clrnc). Bottom segment at design clrnc (0.035). Standard radial spill strips and end pkgs at design clearances	Sensitized pkg in glands (end pkgs). Conventional pkg and seals in inter- stage and radial spill strips.	Guardian pkg and Vortex Shedder radial spill strips. Change to straight-tooth pkg with Guardian post to protect the teeth. Conventional end pkg	
Performance improvement from advanced design	-0.189% (-17.9 Btu/Kwh) NPHR change	not provided	-0.36% (-34.0 Btu/Kwh) NPHR change	
Annual savings from advanced	######################################		**************************************	
design installation	209,260		400,805	
Reasons for award or rejection	- Low bidder for both conventional and advanced design with savings factored in. - Reliable and proven advanced design. - Cost under budgeted amount	- No savings from advanced design - No details on equipment offerings or installation.	- Not low bidder - Bid above budgeted amount Questionable durability of advanced design guardian posts and vortex shedder strips. Annual savings will decrease.	- High bidder for conventional pkg. - No advanced design offered.